

01
02
03
04
05
06 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
07 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
08 AT SEATTLE

09 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
10) CASE NO. MJ 17-208
11 Plaintiff,)
12)
13 v.)
14 REECE T. CONRAD,)
15)
16 Defendant.)
17)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)

14 Offense charged: Felon in Possession of a Firearm; Possession of Heroin with Intent to
15 Distribute; Possession of Methamphetamine with Intent to Distribute

16 Date of Detention Hearing: June 8, 2017.

17 The Court, having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), and
18 based upon the factual findings and statement of reasons for detention hereafter set forth, finds
19 that no condition or combination of conditions which defendant can meet will reasonably assure
20 the appearance of defendant as required and the safety of other persons and the community.

21 FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

22 1. Defendant has been charged with a drug offense, the maximum penalty of which

01 is in excess of ten years. There is therefore a rebuttable presumption against defendant as to
02 both dangerousness and flight risk, under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e).

03 2. The instant charges are based on alleged offense conduct that occurred while
04 defendant was on state supervision for Robbery in the First Degree with a Firearm. The instant
05 charges were initially filed in state court, and defendant's Community Corrections Officer
06 advises that when defendant was bonded out on the state charges, he reported for intake and
07 tested positive for opiates, following which he was immediately taken back into custody. The
08 officer reports that defendant violated conditions throughout the term of his state supervision
09 by using substances, possessing substances, missing drug testing, violating facility rules on four
10 occasions while in custody, and absconding from supervision on multiple occasions. The
11 officer characterizes defendant as avoiding his assigned officer and not making himself
12 available for supervision. At the time of arrest, defendant had an active warrant from the state
13 Department of Corrections. The AUSA proffers that defendant attempted to flee at the time of
14 arrest by law enforcement.

15 3. Taken as a whole, the record does not effectively rebut the presumption that no
16 condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant
17 as required and the safety of the community.

18 It is therefore ORDERED:

- 19 1. Defendant shall be detained pending trial and committed to the custody of the Attorney
20 General for confinement in a correction facility separate, to the extent practicable, from
21 persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;
22 2. Defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with

counsel;

3. On order of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined shall deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding; and
 4. The Clerk shall direct copies of this Order to counsel for the United States, to counsel for the defendant, to the United States Marshal, and to the United State Pretrial Services Officer.

DATED this 8th day of June, 2017.

Mary Alice Theiler
Mary Alice Theiler
United States Magistrate Judge